

सीमा शुल्क आयुक्त का कार्यालय
OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS
केंद्रीय अधिनिर्णय प्रकोष्ठ, एन एस-V
CENTRAL ADJUDICATION CELL, NS-V
जवाहरलाल नेहरू कस्टम हाउस, न्हावा-शेवा,
JAWAHARLAL NEHRU CUSTOM HOUSE, NHAVA-SHEVA,
ताल-ऊरण,डिस्ट-राइगड़, महाराष्ट्र-४०० ७०७.
TAL. URAN, DIST. RAIGAD, MAHARASHTRA - 400 707.

DIN: 20250778NX0000519085

Date of Order: 23/07/2025

F.No. S/10-511/2024-25/ADC/Gr.VA/NS-V/CAC/JNCH

Date of issue: 23/07/2025

SCN No.: 968/2024-25/ADC/Gr.VA/CAC/JNCH

SCN Date: 28/08/2024

Passed By: Shri Mazid Khan

Joint Commissioner of Customs, CAC, NS-V, JNCH

Order-In-Original No.: 545/2025-26/JC/GR.VA/NS-V/CAC/JNCH


Name of Party/Noticee :- M/s. ZERO1 TEKTRONICS LLP (IEC- AACFZ2117K)

मुल आदेश

- 1. यह प्रति जिस व्यक्ति को जारी की जाती है, उसके उपयोग के लिए नि:शुल्क दी जाती है।
- 2. इस आदेश के विरुद्ध अपील सीमाशुल्क अधिनियम 1962 की धारा 128 (1) के तहत इस आदेश की संसूचना की तारीख से साठ दिनों के भीतर सीमाशुल्क आयुक्त (अपील), जवाहरलाल नेहरू सीमाशुल्क भवन, शेवा, ता. उरण, जिला रायगढ़, महाराष्ट्र -400707 को की जा सकती है। अपील दो प्रतियों में होनी चाहिए और सीमाशुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 1982 के अनुसार फॉर्म सी.ए. 1 संलग्नक में की जानी चाहिए। अपील पर न्यायालय फीस के रूप में 2.00 रुपये मात्र का स्टांप लगाया जायेगा और साथ में यह आदेश या इसकी एक प्रति लगायी जायेगी। यदि इस आदेश की प्रति संलग्न की जाती है तो इस पर न्यायालय फीस के रूप में 2.00 रुपये का स्टांप भी लगाया। जायेगा जैसा कि न्यायालय फीस अधिनियम 1970 की अनुसूची 1, मद 6 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किया गया है।
- 3. इस निर्णय या आदेश के विरुद्ध अपील करनेवाला व्यक्ति अपील अनिर्णीत रहने तक, शुल्क या शास्ति के संबंध में विवाद होने पर माँगे गये शुल्क के 7.5% का, अथवा केवल शास्ति के संबंध में विवाद होने पर शास्ति का भगतान करेगा |

ORDER-IN-ORIGINAL

- This copy is granted free of charge for the use of the person to whom it is issued.
- 2. An appeal against this order lies with the Commissioner of Customs (Appeal), Jawaharlal Nehru Custom House, Nhava Sheva, Tal: Uran, Dist: Raigad, Maharashtra 400707 under section 128(1) of the Customs Act, 1962 within sixty days from the date of communication of this order. The appeal should be in duplicate and should be filed in Form CA-1 Annexure on the Customs (Appeal) Rules, 1982. The Appeal should bear a Court Fee stamp of Rs.2.00 only and should be accompanied by this order or a copy thereof. If a copy of this order is enclosed, it should also bear a Court Fee Stamp of Rs. 2.00 only as prescribed under Schedule 1, items 6 of the Court Fee Act, 1970.
- 3. Any person desirous of appealing against this decision or order shall, pending the appeal, make payment of 7.5% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty, where penalty alone is in dispute.



CHECKER OF STREET, STR

AND PRODUCED ON THE PRODUCE OF THE PROPERTY OF

Claim of Times Sentricors

AND REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O

CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR SAN MARKET AND CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR

NUMBER OF STRUCKEYS

Paradd By: Shel Model Rhan

HOLDER AND A SURVEY STORE AND ADDRESS OF THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

DEVICE SALE SHIP ALL ROMORDE FOR SELECTION - moderation of the many

.

400

A hard to be a first of the first first first first for the first of t

The state of the s

A STATE OF THE RESIDENCE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE P

SALISMAN WASONS

This step is qualified through the for the case of this protect in where it is tributed

An appeal appeal appeal to the appeal of the part of the community of the company of the company of the part of th

Approximation the manufacture of approximation of the important or state blads providing the enquery investigation of 7.2% and that the distribution of the interest of the state of the st

BRIEF FACTS OF THE CASE

- On the basis of the Alert Circular No. 11/2019 dated 30.03.2019 issued by the Commissioner of Customs (Audit), Mumbai, Zone-I vide F. No. S/16-Misc-75/2018-19 Audit (P&C), on the issue of "Short Levy of Customs Duty by way of clearance of goods covered under CTH 8507 at lower rate of IGST" and by way of claiming incorrect Schedule and Serial number of IGST Notification No. 01/2017-Integrated Tax (Rate) dated 28.06.2017, data pertaining to imports made by various importers through JNCH (INNSA1) during 01.07.2017 to 13.11.2019 was analysed in detail.
- While analyzing the data, it was observed that M/s ZERO1 TEKTRONICS LLP (IEC- AACFZ2117K) having address as A-10, SAYAN TEXTILE PARK, PAL-HAZIRA ROAD, ICHHAPORE, SURAT, SURAT, GUJARAT, 394510 have imported goods having description as "Battery" under the aforestated CTH as detailed in Annexure- 'A'. The imported goods attract IGST @ 28% under sl. no. 139 of Schedule IV. Accordingly, SCN No. 968/2024-25/ADC/Gr.VA/CAC/JNCH dated 28.08.2024 was issued to the importer, which inter-alia stated:
- 2.1 The Bills of Entry (as per Annexure-A) wherein goods have been classified under CTH 8507 attract levy of IGST as per Table-A. However, they have been cleared under lower rate of IGST.

Table-A

Notification No.	Schedule / S. No.	Chapter / Heading / Sub- heading / Tariff item	Description of Goods							
01/2017- Integrated Tax (Rate) dated 28.06.2017	IV / 139	8507	Electric accumulators, including separators therefor, whether or not rectangular (including square) other than [Lithium-ion batteries]¹ and [Lithium-ion accumulators (other than battery) including Lithium-ion power bank]².	28%						
w.e.f. 01.07.2017	tersignical s	Urustat, en ma obenos vento	1. Inserted by Ntfn. 19/2018-IT (Rate) dated 26.07.2018 w.e.f. 27.07.2018. 2. Inserted by Ntfn. 25/2018-IT (Rate) dated 31.12.2018 w.e.f. 01.01.2019.							
19/2018-IT (Rate) dt. 26.07.18 w.e.f. 27.07.18	III / 376AA	8507 60 00	Lithium-ion Batteries	18%						
25/2018-IT (Rate) dt. 30.12.18	III / 376AAA	8507	Lithium-ion accumulators (other than battery) including lithium-ion power bank	18%						

w.e.f. 01.01.19	8 ,621 3	Ny Tenat is	Commissioner of Contains (Aydil), Number	
01/2017- Integrated Tax (Rate)	203	85	Part for manufacture of telephones for cellular networks or for other wireless networks	12%
dated 28.06.2017 w.e.f.	reg steb	Thos. so es	way of claming incomed Scratturo and its No. 01/2017-Integralized Tax (Scratt distort	
01.07.2017	ARIBER	HUM. equation	imports made by voicing introduce in	

- Consequent upon the above notifications, it is amply clear that imported goods 2.2 attract SI. No. 139 of Schedule IV (IV-139) levying IGST rate of 28% for the CTH 8507 i.e. Electric accumulators, including separators therefor, whether or not rectangular (including square). Further, Sl. No. 203 of Schedule II (II-203 of IGST Notification No. 01/2017-Integrated Tax (Rate) dated 28.06.2017 levying IGST rate of 12% for the CTH 85 i.e. Parts for manufacture of telephones for cellular networks or for other wireless networks, Sl. No. 376AA of Schedule III of IGST Notification No. 19/2018-Integrated Tax (Rate) dated 27.07.2018 levying IGST rate of 18% for the CTH 85076000 i.e. Lithium-ion Batteries & Sl. No. 376AAA of Schedule III of IGST Notification No. 19/2018-Integrated Tax (Rate) dated 27.07.2018 levying IGST rate of 18% for the CTH 8507 i.e. Lithium-ion accumulators (other than battery) including lithium-ion power bank Lithium-ion accumulators (other than battery) including lithium-ion power bank are not applicable to the imported goods. The importer has imported goods having description as Battery. After going through the description of the BE items under deliberation, it has been observed that the imported goods appears to attract IGST @28% against sr. no. 139 of Schedule IV of notification no. 01/2017- Integrated tax (Rate) dated 28.06.2017 and does not seem to justify clearance claiming a lower IGST rate @12% under sr. nos. 203 of Schedule II of IGST notification no. 01/2017-Integrated tax (Rate) dated 28.06.2017 and/or @18% under sr. nos. 376AA or 376AAA of Schedule III of IGST notification no. 19/2018- Integrated tax (Rate) dated 27.07.2018 or a lower IGST rate in other Schedule.
- 2.3 On scrutiny of the import data, it was observed that goods covered under CTH 8507 were cleared by declaring lower rate of IGST under SI. No. 203 of Schedule II of IGST Notification No. 01/2017-Integrated Tax (Rate) dated 28.06.2017 @ 12% and/or @18% under sr. nos. 376AA or 376AAA of Schedule III of IGST notification no. 19/2018- Integrated tax (Rate) dated 27.07.2018 or a lower IGST rate in other Schedule, however, the imported goods falling under CTH 8507 are to be correctly covered under SI. No. 139 of

Schedule IV of the IGST Notification No. 01/2017-Integrated Tax (Rate) dated 28.06.2017 and attract higher rate of IGST @28%.

- 2.4 The total assessable value of the BE items so imported is ₹28,26,151.69/- and it appears that a short levy of IGST amounting to ₹3,13,702.76/- (as detailed in Annexure-'A') is recoverable from the Importer along with applicable interest and penalty.
- 2.5 In view of the above, Consultative letter No.4778 was issued to importer to clarify the issue raised by the department and if agreed to the observation/finding of the department, the importer was advised to pay the differential duty alongwith applicable interest and penalty. However, as per available records, no reply or submission is given by importer in this regard.
- 2.6 Relevant legal provisions for recovery of duty that appears to be evaded are reproduced here for the sake of brevity which are applicable in this instant case:
- 2.7 After the introduction of self-assessment vide Finance Act, 2011, the onus is on the importer to make true and correct declaration in all aspects including classification and calculation of duty, but in the instant case the subject goods have been mis-classified and duty amount has not been paid correctly.
- 2.8 Section 17 (Assessment of duty), subsection (1) reads as: 'An importer entering any imported goods under section 46, or an exporter entering any export goods under section 50, shall, save as otherwise provided in section 85, self-assess the duty, if any, leviable on such goods.'
- 2.9 Section 28 (Recovery of duties not levied or not paid or short-levied or short-paid or erroneously refunded) reads as:
 - '(4) Where any duty has not been levied or not paid or has been short-levied or short-paid or erroneously refunded, or interest payable has not been paid, part-paid or erroneously refunded, by reason of,-
 - (a) collusion; or
 - (b) any wilful mis-statement; or
 - (c) suppression of facts,

by the importer or the exporter or the agent or employee of the importer or exporter, the proper officer shall, within five years from the relevant date, serve notice on the person chargeable with duty or interest which has not been so levied or not paid or which has been so short-levied or short-paid or to whom

the refund has erroneously been made, requiring him to show cause why he should not pay the amount specified in the notice.

(5) Where any duty has not been levied or not paid or has been short-levied or short paid or the interest has not been charged or has been part-paid or the duty or interest has been erroneously refunded by reason of collusion or any wilful mis-statement or suppression of facts by the importer or the exporter or the agent or the employee of the importer or the exporter, to whom a notice has been served under sub-section (4) by the proper officer, such person may pay the duty in full or in part, as may be accepted by him, and the interest payable thereon under section 28AA and the penalty equal to fifteen per cent of the duty specified in the notice or the duty so accepted by that person, within thirty days of the receipt of the notice and inform the proper officer of such payment in writing.'

2.10 Section 46 (Entry of goods on importation), subsection (4) reads as: -

'(4) The importer while presenting a bill of entry shall make and subscribe to a declaration as to the truth of the contents of such bill of entry and shall, in support of such declaration, produce to the proper officer the invoice, if any, and such other documents relating to the imported goods as may be prescribed.'

2.11 Section 111 (Confiscation of improperly imported goods etc.) reads as:

'The following goods brought from a place outside India shall be liable to confiscation:

(m) any goods which do not correspond in respect of value or in any other particular] with the entry made under this Act or in the case of baggage with the declaration made under section 77³ [in respect thereof, or in the case of goods under trans-shipment, with the declaration for trans-shipment referred to in the proviso to sub-section (1) of section 54;'

2.12 Section 112 (Penalty for improper importation of goods etc.) reads as:

'Any person, -

(a)who, in relation to any goods, does or omits to do any act which act or omission would render such goods liable to confiscation under section 111, or abets the doing or omission of such an act, or

(b)who acquires possession of or is in any way concerned in carrying, removing, depositing, harbouring, keeping, concealing, selling or purchasing, or in any other manner dealing with any goods which he knows or has reason to believe are liable to confiscation under section 111, shall be liable, -

- (i) in the case of goods in respect of which any prohibition is in force under this Act or any other law for the time being in force, to a penalty not exceeding the value of the goods or five thousand rupees, whichever is the greater;
- (ii) in the case of dutiable goods, other than prohibited goods, subject to the provisions of section 114A, to a penalty not exceeding ten per cent. of the duty sought to be evaded or five thousand rupees, whichever is higher.'

2.13 Section 114A (Penalty for short-levy or non-levy of duty in certain cases):

'Where the duty has not been levied or has been short-levied or the interest has not been charged or paid or has been part paid or the duty or interest has been erroneously refunded by reason of collusion or any wilful mis-statement or suppression of facts, the person who is liable to pay the duty or interest, as the case may be, as determined under sub-section (8) of section 28 shall also be liable to pay a penalty equal to the duty or interest so determined.'

2.14 Whereas, consequent upon amendment to the Section 17 of the Customs Act, 1962 vide Finance Act, 2011, 'Self-assessment' has been introduced in customs clearance. Section 17 of the Customs Act, effective from 08.04.2011 [CBEC's (now CBIC) Circular No 17/2011 dated 08.04.2011] provides for selfassessment of duty on imported goods by the Importer himself by filing a bill of entry, in the electronic form. Section 46 of the Customs Act, 1962 makes it mandatory for the Importer to make entry for the imported goods by presenting a bill of entry electronically to the proper officer. As per Regulation 4 of the Bill of Entry (Electronic Declaration) Regulation, 2011 (issued under Section 157 read with Section 46 of the Customs Act, 1962), the bill of entry shall be deemed to have been filed and self-assessment of duty completed when, after entry of the electronic declaration (which is defined as particulars relating to the imported goods that are entered in the Indian Customs Electronic Data Interchange System) in the Indian Customs Electronic Data Interchange System either through ICEGATE or by way of data entry through the service centre, a bill of entry number is generated by the Indian Customs Electronic Data Interchange System for the said declaration. Thus, under selfassessment, it is the Importer who has to ensure that he declares the correct classification, applicable rate of duty, value, benefit of exemption notifications claimed, if any, in respect of the imported goods while presenting the bill of entry. Thus, with the introduction of self-assessment by amendments to Section 17, since 08.04.2011, it is the added and enhanced responsibility of the Importer to declare the correct description, value, notification, etc. and to correctly classify, determine and pay the duty applicable in respect of the imported goods

- 2.15 Therefore, in view of the above facts, it appears that the importer has deliberately not paid the duty by wilful mis-statement as it was his duty to declare correct applicable rate of duty in the entry made under Section 46 of the Customs Act, 1962, and thereby has attempted to take undue benefit amounting to ₹ 3,13,702.76/- (as detailed in Annexure-'A'). Therefore, the differential duty, so not paid, is liable for recovery from the Importer under Section 28 (4) of the Customs Act, 1962 by invoking extended period of limitation, along with applicable interest at the applicable rate under section 28AA of the Customs Act, 1962 and for their acts of omission/commission.
- 2.16 Section 111(m) of Customs Act, 1962 provides for confiscation of the goods if any goods exempted, subject to any condition, from duty or any prohibition in respect of the import thereof under this Act or any other law for the time being in force, in respect of which condition is not observed unless the non-observance of the condition was sanctioned by the proper officer.
- 2.17 It appears that the Importer has failed to comply with the conditions mentioned above; therefore, it also appears that the imported goods are liable for confiscation under Section 111(m) of the Customs Act, 1962.
- 2.18 It further appears that the Importer for the acts of omission and commissions mentioned above has rendered themselves liable for penal action under section 112(a) and 114A of the Customs Act. 1962.
- In view of the above, the importer, M/s ZERO1 TEKTRONICS LLP (IEC AACFZ2117K) was called to show cause, as to why:
- (i) Differential/short paid Duty amounting to ₹3,13,703/- for the subject goods imported vide Bills of Entry as detailed in Annexure-'A' should not be demanded under Section 28(4) of the Custom Act, 1962.
- (ii) In addition to the duty short paid, interest on delayed payment of Custom Duty should not be recovered from the Importer under section 28AA of the Customs Act. 1962.
- (iii) The said subject goods imported vide Bills of Entry as detailed in Annexure-'A' having assessable value of ₹ 28,26,152/- should not be held liable for confiscation under Section 111(m) of the Customs Act, 1962.
- (iv) Penalty should not be imposed on them under Section 112(a) of the Customs Act. 1962 for their acts of omission and commission, in rendering the goods liable for confiscation, as stated above.
- (v) Penalty should not be imposed under Section 114A of Customs Act, 1962 for short levy of duty.

PERSONAL HEARING & WRITTEN SUBMISSION

hearing in the matter were provided to the Importer vide letter F. No. S/10-511/2024-25/ADC/Gr.VA/NS-V/CAC/JNCH dated 30.05.2025, 19.06.2025 and 02.07.2025 to appear before the adjudicating authority on 19.06.2025, 02.07.2025 and 14.07.2025, for their oral/written submission against the subject show cause notice. However, no one attended the personal hearing on any of the above dates. Despite the sufficient number of opportunities for personal hearing given to the Importer, they have neither attended the personal hearing nor submitted any written reply in their defence. There is no counter reply/written submission against the Show Cause Notice received from the Importer.

DISCUSSION AND FINDINGS

- 5. I have gone through the facts of the case, and material on record. I find that the Show Cause Notice proposes a recovery of differential IGST amounting to ₹3,13,703/- (Rupees Three Lakh Thirteen Thousand Seven Hundred Three only) under Section 28(4) of Customs Act, 1962 along with applicable interest under Section 28AA of the Customs Act, 1962. The Show Cause Notice also proposes imposition of penalty on the importer under Section 112(a) and 114A of the Customs Act, 1962 and confiscation under Section 111(m) of the Customs Act, 1962 of subject imported goods totally valued at ₹28,26,152/- (Rupees Twenty-eight Lakh Twenty-six Thousand One Hundred Fifty-two only).
- 6. After going through the description of the BE items under deliberation, it has been observed that, the description of imported goods mentioned in Annexure-A are 'PCBA (Printed Circuit Assembly) of various models' and 'Plastic Housing For Power Bank of various models' imported vide Bill of Entry nos. 5137323 dt. 01.10.2019 and 5268025 dt. 12.10.2019 and classified under CTH 8507.
- 7. I find that ample opportunities of personal hearing have been granted to the Importer to be heard in person and to submit their reply/defense submission against the Show Cause Notice. However, neither any written submission/reply to the Show Cause Notice has been submitted by the importer nor any of their representatives turned up for the said personal hearing. Therefore, I am left with no option other than to decide the case ex-parte on the basis of records available and the existing legal position at the relevant point of time.

- 8.. I have carefully gone through the records and facts of the case. I find that following issues emerges for decision in this case:
 - a. Whether the goods declared as 'PCBA (Printed Circuit Assembly)' and 'Plastic Housing For Power Bank' are actually classifiable under SI No. 139 of Schedule IV IGST Notification No.001/2017 dated 28.06.2017 with applicable IGST @ 28% in place of Sr. No. 376AA/376AAA of Schedule III IGST Notification No.001/2017 dated 28.06.2017 with applicable IGST @ 18%.
 - b. Whether the goods are liable for confiscation under Section 111(m) and the importer is liable for penalty under Section 112(a) and 114A of the Customs Act, 1962.
- 9. It is alleged in the Show Cause Notice that the importer has wrongly covered the goods i.e. Battery by declaring lower rate of IGST under SI. No. 203 of Schedule II of IGST Notification No. 01/2017-Integrated Tax (Rate) dated 28.06.2017 @ 12% and/or @18% under sr. nos. 376AA or 376AAA of Schedule III of IGST notification no. 19/2018- Integrated tax (Rate) dated 27.07.2018 or a lower IGST rate in other Schedule and proposed to classify the same under SI. No. 139 of Schedule IV having applicable IGST @ 28%. However, I observe that the imported goods i.e. 'PCBA (Printed Circuit Assembly)' and 'Plastic Housing For Power Bank' which were imported vide Bills of Entry no. 5137323 dt. 01.10.2019 and 5268025 dt. 12.10.2019 have been declared under CTH 8507 by the importer. I also observe that the importer has paid IGST @18% by classifying the subject imported goods under Sr. No. 376AA of Schedule-III of IGST Notification no. 01/2017-IT (Rate) dated 28.06.2017.
- 10. I find that vide principal Notification No. 01/2017-IT (Rate) dated 28.06.2017 (w.e.f. 01.07.2017) "Electric Accumulators, including separators therefor, whether or not rectangular (including square) other than (Lithium-ion batteries) accumulators and (Lithium-ion) other than battery including Lithium-ion power bank" falls under Schedule-IV Sr. No. 139 with applicable IGST @28%. The principal notification of IGST and its subsequent amendment is depicted as under for ease of reference:-

Notification No.	S. No. Heading / Subheading / Tariff item	Description of Goods						
01/2017- Integrated Tax	IV /	8507	Electric accumulators, including separators therefor, whether or not rectangular (including square) other than	28%				

(Rate) dated 28.06.2017 w.e.f. 01.07.2017	139	TSTOL eldenin	[Lithium-ion batteries]¹ and [Lithium-ion accumulators (other than battery) including Lithium-ion power bank]². 1. Inserted by Ntfn. 19/2018-IT (Rate) dated 26.07.2018 w.e.f. 27.07.2018. 2. Inserted by Ntfn. 25/2018-IT (Rate) dated 31.12.2018 w.e.f. 01.01.2019.	
19/2018-IT (Rate) dt. 26.07.18 w.e.f. 27.07.18	III / 376AA	8507 60 00	Lithium-ion Batteries	18%
25/2018-IT (Rate) dt. 30.12.18 w.e.f. 01.01.19	III / 376AAA	8507	Lithium-ion accumulators (other than battery) including lithium-ion power bank	18%
01/2017- Integrated Tax (Rate) dated 28.06.2017 w.e.f. 01.07.2017	203	85	Part for manufacture of telephones for cellular networks or for other wireless networks	12%

- 11. As per the description of the impugned goods as mentioned in Bill of Entry, as detailed in the Annexure-A to the said SCN and the details of said notification dated 28.06.2017 as mentioned above, it is amply clear that the impugned goods with description 'PCBA (Printed Circuit Assembly)' and 'Plastic Housing For Power Bank' falls under Sr. No. 139 of said notification and were under levy of IGST@28% at material time.
- 12. Further, I have verified the facts in the ICES system and find that the impugned goods "PCBA (Printed Circuit Assembly)" and 'Plastic Housing For Power Bank' were imported by the importer under CTI 8507 9090 and paid IGST @18% by classifying them under sl. no. 376AA schedule III of Notification no. 01/2017, whereas the IGST leviable under CTI 8507 9090 at the prevalent time of import was 28%. The relevant portion of duty structure of CTH 8507 is produced below for ease of reference:

8507 90 90 8507	N57 All goods other than battery pack of cellular mobile phones		-	10.00		28.00	1.00	42.080		Ntfn 57/2017-Cus SL No.15
8507 90 10	rubber and separators	kg.	- 55.22	10.00		28.00	1.00	42.080	Free	
	Accumulator cases made of hard	kg.	10.00	10.00	***	28.00	1.00	42.080	Free	
8507 80 00 8507 90 8507 90	- Parts: N50 Battery separators			5.00		28.00	0.50	35.040		Ntfn 50/2017-Cus SL No. 498
	mobile phones - Other accumulators	u	15.00	15.00	***	28.00	1.50	49.120	Free	
8507 60 00	battery of cellular mobile phones N57 Lithium-ion battery of cellular	u	20.00	15.00		28.00	1.50	49.120		Ntfn 57/2017-Cus SL No.17
8507 60 00	N57 All goods other than Lithium-ion	u	15.00	10.00		28.00	1.00	42.080		No.16
5075000	- Nickel-metal hydride	u	15.00	20.00	***	28.00	2.00	56.160	Free	Ntfn 57/2017-Cus - SL
1507 30 00 1507 40 00	- Nickel-iron	u	15.00	15.00	***	28.00	1.50	49.120	Free	
507 20 00	Other lead-acid accumulators Nickel-cadmium	u	15.00	15.00	***	28.00	1.50	49.120	Free	
15071000	ing piston engines	u	15.00	15.00		****	1.50	49.120	Free	
	not rectangular (including square) - Lead-acid, of a kind used for start-	u	15.00	15.00		28.00	1.50	49.120	Free	
507	Electric accumulators, including separators therefor, whether or									

- 13. From the above, it is evident that the applicable IGST under CTI 8507 9090 at the material time was 28%, however, the importer has deliberately not paid the correct duty by wilful misstatement. Hence, I am of the considered view that the demand of differential duty by the subject SCN from the importer is justified.
- 14. I observe that in the era of self-assessment, the onus is on the importer to make true and correct declaration in all aspects including calculation of duty and/ or description of goods. The relevant sections of Customs Act are reproduced below for ease of reference:-

14.1 Section 17(1) Assessment of duty, reads as:

An importer entering any imported goods under section 46, or an exporter entering any export goods under section 50, shall, save as otherwise provided in section 85, self-assess the duty, if any, leviable on such goods.

- 14.2 Further Section 28 (Recovery of duties not levied or not paid or short-levied or short-paid or erroneously refunded) reads as:
 - '(4) Where any duty has not been levied or not paid or has been short-levied or short- paid or erroneously refunded, or interest payable has not been paid, partpaid or erroneously refunded, by reason of-
 - (a) collusion; or
 - (b) any willful mis-statement; or
 - (c) suppression of facts,

by the importer or the exporter or the agent or employee of the importer or exporter, the proper officer shall, within five years from the relevant date, serve notice on the person chargeable with duty or interest which has not been so levied or not paid or which has beenso short-levied or short- paid or to whom the refund has erroneously been made, requiring him to show cause why he should not pay the amount specified in the notice.

15. Thus, from material facts of the case, it is evident that the said importer, purportedly claimed wrong benefit of the said notification with the intent to pay lower duty and thereby caused lose to the govt. exchequer. The said act of the importer is nothing but wilful mis-statement with clear mens rea to pay lower duty at 18% IGST, where the impugned goods falls under 28% IGST at material time. By doing so, the importer evaded a total duty of ₹3,13,703/-(Rupees Three Lakh Thirteen Thousand Seven Hundred Three only).

Thus, I hold that the demand of duty under Section 28(4) of the Customs Act, 1962 is sustainable and I hold the same.

- 16. Further, since the demand of duty is sustainable in the instant case, the interest being accessory to the principal, the same is liable to be paid in accordance with Section 28AA of the Customs Act, 1962.
- 17. As I have already hold that the demand of duty for extended period under Section 28(4) of Customs Act, 1962 is sustainable in the case, I observe that the importer is liable for penal action under Section 114A of the Customs Act, 1962 and I hold the same.
- 18. I find that, on the basis of the facts and circumstances mentioned herein above, the importer has knowingly and deliberately indulged themselves in wilful mis-statement and alleged suppression of facts with regard to notification Sr. No., with an intent to evade the applicable duty. Thus, I am of considered view that by their aforesaid acts of omission and commission, the impugned goods are liable for confiscation under Section 111 (m) of the Customs Act, 1962 and I hold the same. However, I find the goods imported vide bills of entry as detailed above are not available for confiscation, but I rely upon the order of Hon'ble Madras High Court in case of M/s Visteon Automotive Systems India Limited reported in 2018 (9) G.S.T.L. 142 (Mad.) wherein the Hon'ble Madras High Court held in para 23 of the judgment as below:
 - "23. The penalty directed against the importer under Section 112 and the fine payable under Section 125 operate in two different fields. The fine under Section 125 is in lieu of confiscation of the goods. The payment of fine followed up by payment of duty and other charges leviable, as per sub-section (2) of Section 125, fetches relief for the goods from getting confiscated. By subjecting the goods to payment of duty and other charges, the improper and irregular importation is sought to be regularized, whereas, by subjecting the goods to payment of fine under sub-section (1) of Section 125, the goods are saved from getting confiscated. Hence, the availability of the goods is not necessary for imposing the redemption fine. The opening words of Section 125, "Whenever confiscation of any goods is authorized by this Act....", brings out the point clearly. The power to impose redemption fine springs from the authorization of confiscation of goods provided for under Section 111 of the Act. When once power of authorization for confiscation of goods gets traced to the said Section III of the Act, we are of the opinion that the physical availability of goods is not so much relevant. The redemption fine is in fact to

avoid such consequences flowing the payment of the redemption fine saves the goods from getting confiscated. Hence, their physical availability does not have any significance for imposition of redemption fine under Section 125 of the Act. We accordingly answer question No. (i)."

- 19. I further find that the above view of Hon'ble Madras High Court in case of M/s Visteon Automotive Systems India Limited reported in 2018 (9) G.S.T.L. 142 (Mad), has been cited by Hon'ble Gujarat High Court in case of M/s Synergy Fertichem Pvt. Ltd reported in 2020 (33) G.S.T.L. 513 (Guj.) and the same have not been challenged by any of the parties in operation. Hence, I find that any goods improperly imported as provided in any sub-section of Section 111 of the Customs Act, 1962 are liable to confiscation and merely because the importer was not caught at the time of clearance of the imported goods, can't be given differential treatment. In view of the above, I find that the decision of the Hon'ble Madras High Court in the case of M/s Visteon Automotive Systems India Limited reported in 2018 (9) G.S.T.L. 142 (Mad.), which has been passed after observing the decision of Hon'ble Bombay High Court in case of M/s Finesse Creations Inc reported vide 2009 (248) ELT 122 (Bom)upheld by Hon'ble Supreme Court in 2010(255) ELT A.120(SC), is squarely applicable in the present case. Accordingly, I observe that the present case also merits the imposition of a Redemption Fine.
- 20. Now coming to the issue of penalties, I find that the impugned notice proposes a penalty under Section 112(a) and 114A of the Customs Act, 1962 on the notice firm. In this regard, I find that the importer has wrongly evaded legitimate customs duty. I find that, in the self-assessment regime, it is the bounden duty of the Importer to correctly assess the duty on the imported goods. In the instant case wrongly availed the benefits of IGST notification by the importer of such repute having access to all legal aid, tantamount to suppression of material facts and willful mis-classification. The "mens rea" can be deciphered only from "actus-reus". Thus, providing the suppression of fact and claiming undue benefit by the said Importer taking a chance to clear the goods by misclassifying it, amply points towards their "mens rea" to evade the payment of duty. Thus, I find the Importer is liable for a penalty under Section 114A of the Customs Act, 1962.
- 21. In view of the above facts, I pass the following order.

ORDER

- (i) I reject the benefit of lower rate of IGST availed by the importer M/s. ZERO1 TEKTRONICS LLP and order to re-assess the Bills of Entry under Sr. No.139 of Schedule IV (IGST @ 28%) of Notification No. 01/2017 dated 28.06.2017, for goods as mentioned above in Annexure-A to the SCN.
- (ii) I order to confirm the demand of differential IGST of ₹3,13,703/- (Rupees Three Lakh Thirteen Thousand Seven Hundred Three only) on the goods imported vide Bills of Entry mentioned in Annexure-A to the SCN, under Section 28(4) of Customs Act, 1962.
- (iii) I order to recover applicable interest on the short-levied IGST as confirmed above from M/s. ZERO1 TEKTRONICS LLP (IEC-AACFZ2117K) under Section 28AA of the Customs Act, 1962.
- (iv) I order to confiscate the impugned goods having assessable value of ₹28,26,152/- (Rupees Twenty-eight Lakh Twenty-six Thousand One Hundred Fifty-two only) under Section 111(m) of the Customs Act, 1962, but since the same are not available as they have already been cleared, hence I impose a redemption fine of ₹2,80,000/- (Rupees Two Lakh Eighty Thousand only) under Section 125 of the Customs Act, 1962 upon M/s. ZERO1 TEKTRONICS LLP (IEC- AACFZ2117K).
- (v) I order to impose penalty of ₹3,13,703/- (Rupees Three Lakh Thirteen Thousand Seven Hundred Three only) (equivalent to differential IGST, as confirmed in para 21 (ii) above) plus interest leviable thereon, on M/s. ZERO1 TEKTRONICS LLP, under Section 114A of Customs Act, 1962. If such duty and interest is paid within thirty days from the date of the communication of this order, the amount of penalty liable to be paid shall be 25% of the duty and interest, subject to the condition that the amount of penalty is also paid within the period of thirty days of communication of this order.
- (vi) I do not impose any penalty under Section 112 (a) of Customs Act, 1962 for reasons deliberated above.

22. This order is issued without prejudice to any other action that may be taken in respect of the goods in question and/or against the persons concerned or any other person, if found involved under the provisions of the Customs Act, 1962, and/or other law for the time being in force in the Republic of India.

My 2302 18

(माजिद खान / MAZID KHAN)

संयुक्त आयुक्त सीमा शुल्क/ JT. COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS सीएसी, एनएस-5, जेएनसीएच/ CAC, NS-V, JNCH

Encl:- Annexure-A

To:

M/s. ZERO1 TEKTRONICS LLP (IEC- AACFZ2117K)

A-10, SAYAN TEXTILE PARK, PAL-HAZIRA ROAD, ICHHAPORE, SURAT, SURAT, GUJARAT, 394510

Copy to:-

- 1. The Dy./Asstt Commissioner of Customs, Review Cell, JNCH.
- 2. The Dy./Asstt Commissioner of Customs, Recovery Cell, JNCH.
- 3. The Dy./Asstt. Commissioner of Customs, Group VA, JNCH.
- 4. The Dy. /Asstt. Commissioner of Customs, AUDIT, Circle-E, JNCH
- 5. The Dy./Astt. Commissioner of Customs, EDI, JNCH....for uploading on website.
- 6. Notice Board (CHS Section), JNCH.
- 7. Office Copy.

SUPERINTENDENT OF CUSTOMS

20 Z	19 2	87	17	16	15	14	ti ti	k	; ;	: 6	5 7	0 0	0 -	7 0	n .	<u> </u>	D (u i	<u> </u>	
ZERO1 TEKTRONICS LIP	ZERO1 TEKTRONICS LLP.	ZEROJ TEKTRONICS LLP	ZEROJ TEKTRONICS LLP	ZERO1 TEKTRONICS LLP	ZERO1 TEKTRONICS LLP	ZERO1 TEKTROMICS, LUP	ZERO1 TEKTRONICS SLP	ZEROI TEKTROMICS, LLP	ZERUZ JEK I ROWICZ L.P	CEROT JEKINOMICS II.	ZEROT JEKIRONICSTIF	ZEROT TEKTRONICS (1.0	TEMOT LEWISCISCO ICA	ZESO: TENTROWINITE	ZENOT : EKIRONIC LEF	TENOT TEELSON CO.	TEROT TENTRONICS	ALENOT LEVINONICS I	ZEBO1 TEXTEDNIZE	ZEROI TOTTOMIC	
5263025	5208025	5258025	5268625	\$137323	5137323	£137223	5157323	5137323	5137323	11.7.323	5137323	5237722	;								
12/10/2019	12/10/2019	12/10/2019	12/10/2019	01/10/2019	01/10/2019	161/19/2019	01/10/2019	01/10/2019	01/10/2019	61/10/2019	. [02/10/2019	01/16/2019	02/10/2019	6505/01/22	timen		1/16/2019	01/2019 01/2019	5707/01/7		ě
2507 PLASTIC HOUSING FOR POWER BANK (MODELING MACK) (PARTS FOR POWER DANK) (OTY, 13800 PCS)	8507 PLASTIC HOUSING FOR POWER BANK (MODELINGING)	8507 PLASTIC HOUSING FOR POWER BANK (MCDEL:PELIDO* BLACK) (PARTSFOR POWER BANK) (QTY, 2130 (CS)	ESO7 PCBA FOR POWER BANK (MIDDEL:PSL100+) (PANTS FOR POWER BANK) (QTY: 3200 PCS)	8507 PCBA (MODEL: ZC-210) [PRINTED CHICUIT ASSEMBLY] (QTY:1800 PCS)	8507 PCBA (MODEL: ZC-072) [PRINTED CIRCUIT ASSEMBLY] (CTY::1500 PCS)	8507 PCBA (MODEL: 2C-022) [PRINTED CIRCUIT ASSEMBLY] (QTY:2000 PCS)	8507 PCBA (MODEL: ZC-012A) [PRINTED CIRCUIT ASSEMBLY] [QTY:1900 PCS]	8507 PCGA (MODEL: ZC-012A) [PRINTED CIRCUIT ASSEMBLY] (QTY:1416 PCS)	8507 PCBA (MODEL: Y38) [PRINTED CIRCUIT ASSEMBLY] [QTY:3100 PCS]		8507 PCBA (MODEL: Y32) [PRINTED CIRCUIT ASSEMBLY] [QTY:2500 PCS]	6507 FCRA (MODEL: Y31) [PRINTED-CIRCUIT ASSEMBLY]	8507 PCBA (MODEL: Y25) [PRINTED CIRCUIT ASSEMBLY] (QTY:16490 PCS)	-	8507 PCBA (MODEL: PW610002) [PRINTED CIRCUIT ACT - NO (OLTV:10000PCS)		-	8507 PCBA (MODEL: A6) [PRINTED CIRCUIT ASSÉM21+] (QTY:3100 PCS)	-		
299194.71	34522.61	65906.59	160586 87	. 91460.38	43344.96	76735.26	58956.22	70480.39	80364.64	.132713.24	64810.57	C-810.57	85725277	132213.74	230700.84		12: 0.41	30564,54	77720.3=	75527	Value .
92690.5	10695.1	20417.9	49780.8	28334.4	13428.2	23772.6	21363.3	21834.8	24897	40959.6	20073.4	20978.4	65855.7	40959.6	179901.1	91524.7	27487.7	24897	8432.8		
59779.1	6897.6	13158.1	32105.2	18273.8	3660.3	15331.7	13777.9	14082	16056.9	26416.2	12949.2	12949.2	42473.1	26416.2	126024	59027.2	14503	1605-5.5	5498.6		- Andreader
- 0	. 0	0	0	0		Ci.	0	0	0	0	۵		0	c)	o.	0	0	0	- 0	pessonsy	1000
322105.13	38520.3	73156.32	178362 45	101521.02	48112.93	85175.14	76543.62	78233.23	89204.75	146756.7	71939.73	71939.73	235961.45	145756.7	544577.93	327929.62	80572.25	89264.75	30214.59	ASSESSED -	all persons
15	13	00	. 25	S	13	13	ii.	18	1S.	lė	15	ta.	K	12	500	Łć	tż	200	į.	7	140
2% 33210.62	25 3832.03	28 7315.67	28 17835.28	28 10152.09	28 4811.31	28 8517.62	28 7654.31	18 7823.30	28 3920.43	14675.63	re 7.93.52	7133.92	_3 23595.11	23 23675 55	J. G.(2).52	12 52553	25 8057.23	23.C.743	13	PATE PATE	, i

PCA-C3/JNCH

Annexure-'.

... de 31. de